MOREHOUSE
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Department of Medical Education

MEMORANDUM

TO: Meryl S. McNeal, Ph.D.
        Associate Professor
        Community Health/Preventive Medicine

FROM: Martha L. Elks, M.D., Ph.D.
        Associate Dean of Medical Education
        Professor and Chair, Medical Education

RE: **COMMUNITY HEALTH/PREVENTIVE MEDICINE
        EVALUATION SUMMARY**

DATE: March 27, 2007

Please review the enclosed Evaluation for Community Health and Preventive Medicine (2006).
This analysis consists of five (5) different groups which included a total of 36 students
responding out of approximately 53 students.

The enclosed information consist of the following:

    1.   **Course Summary**
    2.   **Each Instructor's Summary**
    3.   **Group I - V Comments**
    4.   **Individual Instructor's Comments**

If you have any questions regarding this report, please do not hesitate to call me at Ext. 1881.

cc: Eve J. Higginbotham, M.D.
    Daniel S. Blumenthal, M.D., M.P.H.

Revised 04/03/07

Course Evaluation Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. McNeal - 509 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. The learning objectives of this course are clearly stated in the syllabus.			
(Not Answered)		2	5.56 %
Strongly Agree		12	33.33 %
Agree		17	47.22 %
Neutral		3	8.33 %
Disagree		1	2.78 %
Strongly Disagree		1	2.78 %
	Total Responses	**36**	**100 %**
2. Assigned readings, overall concepts, and lecture topics are clearly matched.			
Strongly Agree		10	27.78 %
Agree		19	52.78 %
Neutral		5	13.89 %
Disagree		1	2.78 %
rongly Disagree		1	2.78 %
	Total Responses	**36**	**100 %**
3. Course polices are clearly stated in the syllabus.			
Strongly Agree		12	33.33 %
Agree		18	50.00 %
Neutral		3	8.33 %
Disagree		1	2.78 %
Strongly Disagree		2	5.56 %
	Total Responses	**36**	**100 %**
4. The material covered on each exam is clearly identified in the course syllabus.			
(Not Answered)		1	2.78 %
Strongly Agree		11	30.56 %
Agree		16	44.44 %
Neutral		5	13.89 %
Disagree		1	2.78 %
Strongly Disagree		2	5.56 %
	Total Responses	**36**	**100 %**

(1)

Course Evaluation Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. McNeal - 509 - 2006

		Count	Percent
5. The lecture schedule is clearly presented in the syllabus.			
Strongly Agree		14	38.89 %
Agree		15	41.67 %
Neutral		5	13.89 %
Disagree		2	5.56 %
	Total Responses	**36**	100 %
6. The lecture topics provide a coherent flow of information.			
Strongly Agree		12	33.33 %
Agree		12	33.33 %
Neutral		7	19.44 %
Disagree		3	8.33 %
Strongly Disagree		2	5.56 %
	Total Responses	**36**	100 %
7. Overall, this course was well organized and well presented.			
ʼrongly Agree		10	27.78 %
ʌgree		16	44.44 %
Neutral		6	16.67 %
Disagree		2	5.56 %
Strongly Disagree		2	5.56 %
	Total Responses	**36**	100 %
8. Assigned readings were valuable to your understanding of the subject matter.			
Strongly Agree		10	27.78 %
Agree		10	27.78 %
Neutral		11	30.56 %
Disagree		3	8.33 %
Strongly Disagree		2	5.56 %
	Total Responses	**36**	100 %
9. Interactive learning experiences e.g.: problem-solving, discussions) were included to assist understanding of the subject.			
Strongly Agree		11	30.56 %
Agree		19	52.78 %
ʼutral		4	11.11 %
ᴜisagree		1	2.78 %
Strongly Disagree		1	2.78 %
	Total Responses	**36**	100 %

(2)

		Count	Percent

10. Reference to other courses and subjects or interdisciplinary examples were used to enhance understanding and integration of knowledge of this subject.

		Count	Percent
Strongly Agree		10	27.78 %
Agree		15	41.67 %
Neutral		4	11.11 %
Disagree		5	13.89 %
Strongly Disagree		2	5.56 %
	Total Responses	36	100%

11. A reasonable number of quality practice examination questions were made available for self-progress evaluation.

		Count	Percent
Strongly Agree		8	22.22 %
Agree		13	36.11 %
Neutral		5	13.89 %
Disagree		8	22.22 %
Strongly Disagree		2	5.56 %
	Total Responses	36	100%

12. The clinical relevance of the subject matter was brought to your attention.

		Count	Percent
Strongly Agree		12	33.33 %
Agree		16	44.44 %
Neutral		4	11.11 %
Disagree		3	8.33 %
Strongly Disagree		1	2.78 %
	Total Responses	36	100%

13. Testing and grading policies were clearly stated, were fair, and were followed.

		Count	Percent
Strongly Agree		10	27.78 %
Agree		20	55.56 %
Neutral		3	8.33 %
Disagree		1	2.78 %
Strongly Disagree		2	5.56 %
	Total Responses	36	100%

Course Evaluation Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. McNeal - 509 - 2006

		Count	Percent
14. Laboratory exercises facilitated learning the subject matter.			
(Not Answered)		19	52.78 %
Strongly Agree		6	16.67 %
Agree		7	19.44 %
Neutral		4	11.11 %
	Total Responses	**36**	**100 %**
15. Laboratory manuals and instructions were explicit and clearly written.			
(Not Answered)		19	52.78 %
Strongly Agree		5	13.89 %
Agree		7	19.44 %
Neutral		4	11.11 %
Disagree		1	2.78 %
	Total Responses	**36**	**100 %**
16. Objectives of laboratory learning were clearly stated.			
(Not Answered)		19	52.78 %
Strongly Agree		5	13.89 %
Agree		7	19.44 %
Neutral		4	11.11 %
Disagree		1	2.78 %
	Total Responses	**36**	**100 %**
17. Sufficient equipment and materials were available to complete laboratory exercises in a timely fashion.			
(Not Answered)		19	52.78 %
Strongly Agree		5	13.89 %
Agree		7	19.44 %
Neutral		4	11.11 %
Disagree		1	2.78 %
	Total Responses	**36**	**100 %**
18. Instructor/student ratio was adequate to permit completion of laboratory objectives and exercises.			
(Not Answered)		19	52.78 %
Strongly Agree		7	19.44 %
Agree		5	13.89 %
Neutral		4	11.11 %
Disagree		1	2.78 %

March 21, 2007

(4)

	Count	Percent

18. Instructor/student ratio was adequate to permit completion of
laboratory objectives and exercises.

	Total Responses	36	100 %

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Blumenthal - 540 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. Presented well-organized lectures covering stated learning objectives.		Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree		6	75.00 %
Agree		1	12.50 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
2. Encouraged critical and analytical class participation.		Respondents: 8	
(Not Answered)		1	12.50 %
Strongly Agree		6	75.00 %
Agree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
3. Responded well to questions (regardless of whether an answer was available).		Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree		7	87.50 %
^gree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
. Spoke clearly.		Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree		7	87.50 %
Agree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
5. Paced lecture/discussion to allow for notetaking.		Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree		6	75.00 %
Agree		1	12.50 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
6. Reinforced key points and concepts.		Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree		7	87.50 %
Agree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
7. Was helpful outside of class.		Respondents: 8	
(Not Answered)		1	12.50 %
ongly Agree		7	87.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**

(€)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Blumenthal - 540 - 2006

		Count	Percent
8. Was well-prepared.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		7	87.50 %
Agree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
9. Used examples and illustrations to reinforce discussion.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		7	87.50 %
Agree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
10. Was respectful of students' comments and points of view.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		7	87.50 %
Agree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
11. Clearly explained abstract ideas and difficult concepts.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		7	87.50 %
gree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
12. Summarized the topic matter or appropriate intervals.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		7	87.50 %
Agree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hand.	Respondents: 8		
(Not Answered)		1	12.50 %
Strongly Agree		6	75.00 %
Agree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Gail McCray - Group 1 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. Presented well-organized lectures covering stated learning objectives.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
2. Encouraged critical and analytical class participation.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
3. Responded well to questions (regardless of whether an answer was available).	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
4. Spoke clearly.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
5. Paced lecture/discussion to allow for notetaking.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
6. Reinforced key points and concepts.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Gail McCray - Group 1 - 2006

		Count	Percent
7. Was helpful outside of class.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
8. Was well-prepared.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
9. Used examples and illustrations to reinforce discussion.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
10. Was respectful of students' comments and points of view.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
11. Clearly explained abstract ideas and difficult concepts.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
12. Summarized the topic matter or appropriate intervals.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at :d.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Gail McCray - Group 1 - 2006

	Count	Percent
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hand.	Respondents: 8	

Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**

ANSWERS TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

1. Approximately what percent of classes did you attend in this course?

    (1) 95%
    (2) 99%
    (3) 100
    (4) 90%

2. Did you complete most reading assignments, work exercises and other suggested learning experiences?

    (1) Yes
    (2) Yes
    (3) Yes
    (4) Yes

3. What did you like best about the course?

    (1) →Class discussions w/Dr. Blumenthal and Gail
         Meeting people in the community was fun too
    (2) Interaction with the public
    (3) The intervention
    (4) Working in the community

4. What did you like least about the course?

    (1) →Conflicting scheduling w/Physio exam
         →Attendance policy enforcement differed from instructor to instructor
    (2) The papers
    (3) Too many written assignments. Should just be community service only.

5. Based upon your experiences in this course, do you believe you have a sufficient fund and command of knowledge to achieve a passing score in this subject matter on the NBME Part I?

    (1) Yes

COMMENTS: For those items answered negatively in sections A and
             B, please provide constructive criticism and
             suggestions for improvement below:

No comments

COMMENTS: Please provide any suggestions you may have on
             improving the areas you perceive to be deficient or
             unsuitable below:

No comments

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Taylor - 533 - Group 2 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. Presented well-organized lectures covering stated learning objectives.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
Strongly Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
2. Encouraged critical and analytical class participation.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		4	57.14 %
Agree		2	28.57 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
3. Responded well to questions (regardless of whether an answer was available).	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		2	28.57 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
4. Spoke clearly.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		4	57.14 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
5. Paced lecture/discussion to allow for notetaking.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
6. Reinforced key points and concepts.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Taylor - 533 - Group 2 - 2006

		Count	Percent
7. Was helpful outside of class.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		4	57.14 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
8. Was well-prepared.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		2	28.57 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
Strongly Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
9. Used examples and illustrations to reinforce discussion.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Neutral		2	28.57 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
10. Was respectful of students' comments and points of view.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		4	57.14 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
11. Clearly explained abstract ideas and difficult concepts.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
12. Summarized the topic matter or appropriate intervals.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		4	57.14 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hꞋ	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %

	Count	Percent
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hand.	Respondents: 7	
Strongly Disagree	1	14.29 %
Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**

(10)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Carla Durham - 532 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. Presented well-organized lectures covering stated learning objectives.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
Strongly Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
2. Encouraged critical and analytical class participation.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Strongly Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
3. Responded well to questions (regardless of whether an answer was available).	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		4	57.14 %
Strongly Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
4. Spoke clearly.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		4	57.14 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
5. Paced lecture/discussion to allow for notetaking.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
6. Reinforced key points and concepts.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		2	28.57 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
Strongly Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**

(11)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Carla Durham - 532 - 2006

		Count	Percent
7. Was helpful outside of class.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
8. Was well-prepared.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		2	28.57 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
Strongly Disagree -		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
9. Used examples and illustrations to reinforce discussion.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
٦utral		1	14.29 %
٥trongly Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
10. Was respectful of students' comments and points of view.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		4	57.14 %
Strongly Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
11. Clearly explained abstract ideas and difficult concepts.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
Strongly Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
12. Summarized the topic matter or appropriate intervals.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
٦utral		1	14.29 %
٥isagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**

(12)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Carla Durham - 532 - 2006

		Count	Percent
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hand.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
Strongly Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**

(13)

ANSWERS TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

1.   Approximately what percent of classes did you attend in this course?

(1)   98%

2.   Did you complete most reading assignments, work exercises and other suggested learning experiences?

(1)   Yes

3.   What did you like best about the course?

(1)   Ability to get out of classroom

4.   What did you like least about the course?

(1)   Disorganized not worth enough credit hours for the amount of work put in.

5.   Based upon your experiences in this course, do you believe you have a sufficient fund and command of knowledge to achieve a passing score in this subject matter on the NBME Part I?

No comments

COMMENTS: For those items answered negatively in sections A and B, please provide constructive criticism and suggestions for improvement below:

No comments

COMMENTS: Please provide any suggestions you may have on improving the areas you perceive to be deficient or unsuitable below:

No comments

(14)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. McNeal - Group 3 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. Presented well-organized lectures covering stated learning objectives.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		2	25.00 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
Disagree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
2. Encouraged critical and analytical class participation.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		2	25.00 %
Agree		4	50.00 %
Disagree		2	25.00 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
3. Responded well to questions (regardless of whether an answer was available).	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		1	12.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
Disagree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
4. Spoke clearly.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		2	25.00 %
Agree		5	62.50 %
Disagree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
5. Paced lecture/discussion to allow for notetaking.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		2	25.00 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
Neutral		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
6. Reinforced key points and concepts.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		1	12.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		5	62.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**

(15)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. McNeal - Group 3 - 2006

		Count	Percent
7. Was helpful outside of class.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		3	37.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
Neutral		2	25.00 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
8. Was well-prepared.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		2	25.00 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
Disagree		1	12.50 %
Strongly Disagree		2	25.00 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
9. Used examples and illustrations to reinforce discussion.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		2	25.00 %
˙ree		4	50.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
Strongly Disagree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
10. Was respectful of students' comments and points of view.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		2	25.00 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
Neutral		2	25.00 %
Strongly Disagree		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
11. Clearly explained abstract ideas and difficult concepts.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		2	25.00 %
Agree		1	12.50 %
Neutral		4	50.00 %
Disagree		2	25.00 %
	Total Responses	**9**	**100 %**
12. Summarized the topic matter or appropriate intervals.	Respondents: 8		
˙˙ongly Agree		2	25.00 %
ˌgree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
Disagree		3	37.50 %

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. McNeal - Group 3 - 2006

	Count	Percent
12. Summarized the topic matter or appropriate intervals.	Respondents: 8	
Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hand.	Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree	2	25.00 %
Agree	3	37.50 %
Neutral	1	12.50 %
Disagree	2	25.00 %
Total Responses	**8**	**100 %**

(17)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Buckner - 545 - Group 3 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. Presented well-organized lectures covering stated learning objectives.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
2. Encouraged critical and analytical class participation.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
3. Responded well to questions (regardless of whether an answer was available).	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
4. Spoke clearly.	Respondents: 8		
(Not Answered)		1	12.50 %
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
5. Paced lecture/discussion to allow for notetaking.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
6. Reinforced key points and concepts.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
7. Was helpful outside of class.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
e		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %

(18)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Buckner - 545 - Group 3 - 2006

		Count	Percent
8. Was well-prepared.	Respondents: 8		
(Not Answered)		1	12.50 %
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
9. Used examples and illustrations to reinforce discussion.	Respondents: 8		
(Not Answered)		1	12.50 %
Strongly Agree	-	5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
10. Was respectful of students' comments and points of view.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
11. ᵃrly explained abstract ideas and difficult concepts.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
12. Summarized the topic matter or appropriate intervals.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hand.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %

(19)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Buckner - 545 - Group 3 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. Presented well-organized lectures covering stated learning objectives.		Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
2. Encouraged critical and analytical class participation.		Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
3. Responded well to questions (regardless of whether an answer was available).		Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
4. Spoke clearly.		Respondents: 8	
(Not Answered)		1	12.50 %
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
5. Paced lecture/discussion to allow for notetaking.		Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
6. Reinforced key points and concepts.		Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
7. Was helpful outside of class.		Respondents: 8	
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
e		2	25.00 %
Neutral		1	12.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %

(20)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Buckner - 545 - Group 3 - 2006

		Count	Percent
8. Was well-prepared.	Respondents: 8		
(Not Answered)		1	12.50 %
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
9. Used examples and illustrations to reinforce discussion.	Respondents: 8		
(Not Answered)		1	12.50 %
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		2	25.00 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
10. Was respectful of students' comments and points of view.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
11. arly explained abstract ideas and difficult concepts.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
12. Summarized the topic matter or appropriate intervals.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hand.	Respondents: 8		
Strongly Agree		5	62.50 %
Agree		3	37.50 %
	Total Responses	8	100 %

ANSWERS TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

1.   Approximately what percent of classes did you attend in this course?

(1)   98%
(2)   100%
(3)   80%
(4)   100%
(5)   99%
(6)   All

2.   Did you complete most reading assignments, work exercises and other suggested learning experiences?

(1)   Yes
(2)   Yes
(3)   Yes
(4)   Yes
(5)   Yes
(6)   Yes

3.   What did you like best about the course?

(1)   Working w/community
(2)   Interaction w/ children
(3)   Getting to know my community, professors, groups & community
(4)   Interacting with the community, Dr. Buckner
(5)   Field experience
(6)   Helping & community service

4.   What did you like least about the course?

(1)   Childlike monitoring of attendance
(2)   All the red tape. I suggest that professors help schedule rooms for students.
(3)   The drawn out discussions
(4)   Would have liked more time with community and less class time.
(5)   Scheduling conflicts
(6)   The hours & the drive

(22)

5. Based upon your experiences in this course, do you believe you have a sufficient fund and command of knowledge to achieve a passing score in this subject matter on the NBME Part I?

(1) Yes
(2) Yes
(3) Yes
(4) Yes

COMMENTS: For those items answered negatively in sections A and B, please provide constructive criticism and suggestions for improvement below:

(1) Cut down the hours. Have sites in Westend – where we go to school – help the community in which MSM lives. Don't have class the day of an exam!

COMMENTS: Please provide any suggestions you may have on improving the areas you perceive to be deficient or unsuitable below:

No Comments

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Crump 536 - Group 4 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. Presented well-organized lectures covering stated learning objectives.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
2. Encouraged critical and analytical class participation.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		5	71.43 %
Neutral		2	28.57 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
3. Responded well to questions (regardless of whether an answer was available).	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		3	42.86 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
4. Spoke clearly.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		6	85.71 %
Agree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
5. Paced lecture/discussion to allow for notetaking.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		4	57.14 %
Agree		2	28.57 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
6. Reinforced key points and concepts.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		5	71.43 %
Neutral		2	28.57 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
7. Was helpful outside of class.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		5	71.43 %
;ree		1	14.29 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**

(24)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Crump 536 - Group 4 - 2006

		Count	Percent
8. Was well-prepared.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		2	28.57 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
Disagree		2	28.57 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
9. Used examples and illustrations to reinforce discussion.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		2	28.57 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
10. Was respectful of students' comments and points of view.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		5	71.43 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
11. Clearly explained abstract ideas and difficult concepts.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		2	28.57 %
Agree		2	28.57 %
Neutral		2	28.57 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
12. Summarized the topic matter or appropriate intervals.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		3	42.86 %
Agree		2	28.57 %
Neutral		2	28.57 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hand.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		4	57.14 %
Agree		1	14.29 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
Strongly Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**

(25)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Banks - 537 - Group 4 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. Presented well-organized lectures covering stated learning objectives.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		5	71.43 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
Disagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	7	100 %
2. Encouraged critical and analytical class participation.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		5	71.43 %
Agree		1	14.29 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	7	100 %
3. Responded well to questions (regardless of whether an answer was available).	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		4	57.14 %
Agree		2	28.57 %
sagree		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	7	100 %
4. Spoke clearly.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		4	57.14 %
Agree		1	14.29 %
Neutral		2	28.57 %
	Total Responses	7	100 %
5. Paced lecture/discussion to allow for notetaking.	Respondents: 7		
Strongly Agree		4	57.14 %
Agree		1	14.29 %
Neutral		2	28.57 %
	Total Responses	7	100 %
6. Reinforced key points and concepts.	Respondents: 7		
(Not Answered)		1	14.29 %
Strongly Agree		4	57.14 %
Agree		1	14.29 %
Neutral		1	14.29 %
	Total Responses	7	100 %

(26)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Banks - 537 - Group 4 - 2006

	Count	Percent
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hand.	Respondents: 7	
Total Responses	**7**	**100 %**

(2-8)

ANSWERS TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

1. **Approximately what percent of classes did you attend in this course?**

    (1) 100%
    (2) 100%
    (3) 100%
    (4) 100%
    (5) 100%
    (6) 100%

2. **Did you complete most reading assignments, work exercises and other suggested learning experiences?**

    (1) Yes
    (2) 100%
    (3) Yes
    (4) For the most part
    (5) Yes

3. **What did you like best about the course?**

    (1) Clinical relevance, humanity in health care
    (2) Working with the children
    (3) Working at the site with the children

4. **What did you like least about the course?**

    (1) Please be mindful of students' course schedule – in particular Exam blocks
    (2) Rigidity of the schedule
    (3) Not enough community reaction

5. **Based upon your experiences in this course, do you believe you have a sufficient fund and command of knowledge to achieve a passing score in this subject matter on the NBME Part I?**

    (1) D.N.A.
    (2) Yes
    (3) Yes

(≥ 9)

COMMENTS: For those items answered negatively in sections A and B, please provide constructive criticism and suggestions for improvement below:

No comments

COMMENTS: Please provide any suggestions you may have on improving the areas you perceive to be deficient or unsuitable below:

No Comments

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Crawford - 542- Group 5 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. Presented well-organized lectures covering stated learning objectives.		Respondents: 6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		3	50.00 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
2. Encouraged critical and analytical class participation.		Respondents: 6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		1	16.67 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
3. Responded well to questions (regardless of whether an answer was available).		Respondents: 6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		3	50.00 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
4. Spoke clearly.		Respondents: 6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		1	16.67 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
5. Paced lecture/discussion to allow for notetaking.		Respondents: 6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		3	50.00 %
Agree		1	16.67 %
Neutral		1	16.67 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
6. Reinforced key points and concepts.		Respondents: 6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		1	16.67 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**

		Count	Percent
7. Was helpful outside of class.	Respondents:	6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		1	16.67 %
	Total Responses	6	100 %
8. Was well-prepared.	Respondents:	6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		1	16.67 %
	Total Responses	6	100 %
9. Used examples and illustrations to reinforce discussion.	Respondents:	6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		3	50.00 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	6	100 %
10. Was respectful of students' comments and points of view.	Respondents:	6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		3	50.00 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	6	100 %
11. Clearly explained abstract ideas and difficult concepts.	Respondents:	6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		3	50.00 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	6	100 %
12. Summarized the topic matter or appropriate intervals.	Respondents:	6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		3	50.00 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	6	100 %
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at ha	Respondents:	6	
(Not Answered)		1	16.67 %
Strongly Agree		3	50.00 %
Agree		2	33.33 %

March 21, 2007 (32)

	Count	Percent
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hand.	Respondents: 6	
Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**

(33)

Instructor Evaluation - Count and Percent
CH/PM - Dr. Osborne - 544 - Group 5 - 2006

		Count	Percent
1. Presented well-organized lectures covering stated learning objectives.		Respondents: 6	
Strongly Agree		5	83.33 %
Agree		1	16.67 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
2. Encouraged critical and analytical class participation.		Respondents: 6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
3. Responded well to questions (regardless of whether an answer was available).		Respondents: 6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
4. ˋˋoke clearly.		Respondents: 6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
5. Paced lecture/discussion to allow for notetaking.		Respondents: 6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
6. Reinforced key points and concepts.		Respondents: 6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
7. Was helpful outside of class.		Respondents: 6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		1	16.67 %
Neutral		1	16.67 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**

(34)

		Count	Percent
8. Was well-prepared.	Respondents:	6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
9. Used examples and illustrations to reinforce discussion.	Respondents:	6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
10. Was respectful of students' comments and points of view.	Respondents:	6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
11. Clearly explained abstract ideas and difficult concepts.	Respondents:	6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
,ree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
12. Summarized the topic matter or appropriate intervals.	Respondents:	6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**
13. Provided examples of clinical correlations to subject matter at hand.	Respondents:	6	
Strongly Agree		4	66.67 %
Agree		2	33.33 %
	Total Responses	**6**	**100 %**

ANSWERS TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

1.   Approximately what percent of classes did you attend in this course?

(1)   100%
(2)   100
(3)   100%
(4)   100%
(5)   100%

2.   Did you complete most reading assignments, work exercises and other suggested learning experiences?

(1)   Yes
(2)   Don't remember any
(3)   Yes
(4)   Yes

3.   What did you like best about the course?

(1)   Out of class
(2)   Community interaction
(3)   Interacting w/ the group
(4)   The health fair
(5)   Community Service opportunity

4.   What did you like least about the course?

(1)   Felt like waste of time
(2)   Having to come on different days
(3)   Lack of preparation for test & presentations

5.   Based upon your experiences in this course, do you believe you have a sufficient fund and command of knowledge to achieve a passing score in this subject matter on the NBME Part I?

No comments

(36)

COMMENTS: For those items answered negatively in sections A and B, please provide constructive criticism and suggestions for improvement below:

No comments

COMMENTS: Please provide any suggestions you may have on improving the areas you perceive to be deficient or unsuitable below:

No comments

(37)

Please provide any written comments you believe to be appropriate and pertinent to each Instructor's evaluation:

INSTRUCTOR: DR. CRAWFORD

(1)   I think everyone should have a professor as dedicated as my two group facilitators. I really appreciated them for their dedications & planning.

(38)

MOREHOUSE
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

/

MEMORANDUM

Department of Medical Education

TO: Meryl McNeal, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Community Health/Preventive Medicine

FROM: Dr. Martha L. Elks, M.D., Ph.D.
Associate Dean for Medical Education
Professor and Chair, Medical Education

RE: **ON-LINE EVALUATIONS (2008)**

DATE: June 17, 2008

The course evaluation for **Community Health/Preventive Medicine (2008)** is enclosed. Students were given the opportunity several times to fill out evaluations until May 31, 2008. Please continue to encourage students to fill out evaluations in the future. This information should be discussed with each instructor in the summary.

If you have any questions, please contact me at Ext. 1881.

Enclosures:

(1) Course Evaluation
(2) Instructor's Evaluation
(3) Course Comments to follow each evaluation

Cc: Dean Eve J. Higginbotham. M.D.
Daniel Blumenthal, M.D.

📖 Assessment Statistics: Community Health Course Evaluation

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name　　　Community Health Course Evaluation

Attempts　　9 (Total of 10 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions　Please evaluate this course. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you completed the survey.

Question 1　Opinion Scale/Likert
Course

The Course experiences (class sessions, materials, assignments) effectively addressed course objectives.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	11.111%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	22.222%
Disagree	33.333%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2　Opinion Scale/Likert

The administrative aspects of the course were effective. (Consider issues such as timely provision of materials, error-free materials, responsiveness to problems, scheduling, and return of test(s).

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	11.111%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	11.111%
Disagree	11.111%
Strongly Disagree	33.333%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3　Opinion Scale/Likert

Examinations/assessments were appropriate and fair

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	11.111%

(2)

Agree	33.333%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	11.111%
Strongly Disagree	11.111%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
Class session experiences were worthwhile

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	11.111%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	22.222%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
Instruction was effective

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	11.111%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	22.222%
Disagree	11.111%
Strongly Disagree	22.222%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
Course director and instructor(s) were available

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	11.111%
Agree	44.444%
Neutral	44.444%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Short Answer
Strengths of this course include:

(3)

Unanswered Responses

1

Given Answers

The syllabus was very helpful with the important dates highlighted as a reminder to students.

Being able to interact with students at my community site.

Group 2 instructors

The overall goal and purpose of the course are great.

getting to do community service while in school and learning how to plan

The ability to work in the community was a good aspect of the course.

Provided an experience in difficult communitites in healthcare.

Blumenthal gaev teh most effective lecture of the course. Dr. Levine as well.

experience, discussion

Question 8   Short Answer

Weaknesses of this course include:

Unanswered Responses

1

Given Answers

a lot of time needed

Dr. Crawford's lectures. They often drifted off topic and I'm not sure the topics of the letures were relevant to the course. There were also too many reflection jounrals. They needed to be fewer or have better questions. I felt many of my answers were repeated after December.

The course did not seem well planned. The project done in the first semester were disjointed form second semester. Other classes shut down before major exams but Community Health does not.

N/A

ratio of class time to intervention time. seemed very self-indulgent. need to be able to deal with the kids directly for much longer periods of time if we hope to be effective

The faculty who showed a complete lack of respect to students.

The Administration

The Syllabus

The Structure of the Course

The timing of the course

The ineffectiveness of the course

The course itself is run very poorly. There seems to be little communication between directors and instructors. This is evident as seen when the students are given conflicting instructions as to

(4)

what is expected of them in regards to papers or presentations. Furthermore the instructors insist that the students abide by the syllabus meanwhile they themselves do not, except when it is convenient for them. Also it is never clearly understood what is expected of the students from the instructors.

Question 9 Short Answer

Suggestions for improvement:

Unanswered Responses

2

Given Answers

Community health needs a totaly overhaul, but I can certainly offer some suggestions. I think that the first thing that needs to happen is a change of administration. I vote for Dr. Levine or Dr. Taylor to run the course. Next, the syllabus should either be re-written (by someone other than whoever wrote it the first time) or seriously revised. I felt that we were in the dark many times as to what exactly was expected of us, and that the rules for the paper, especially, were very unclear. The "journals" were a juvenile, repetitive, unnecessary waste of time, and if they are to be required, the least that can be done is to have interesting prompts; I could've cut and pasted my joural replies for most of the year. The class time we spent preparing for our intervention were a study in patience as well as a lesson in futility. There was no need for 6 months worth of preparation for 5 weeks (really 5 hours) worth of intervention. Does the government know how much money we are wasting on these "interventions?" Next, medical students are not social workers. I certainly understand the value of community service; I wouldn't be at Morehouse School of Medicine if I didn't want to serve my community. But I don't think that this course addresses the needs of the community or the needs of medical students. If the grant money for this course was used for actual community service (and I honestly believe that if you give a group of professional school adults a sum of grant money for community service, they will know what to do with it), it would be put to much better use than it was throughout the course of this class year. Next, I feel that I was repeatedly disrespected, patronised and mistreated throughout the course of Community Health. I was treated like a child and not a professional, and was subjected to gros manegerial incompetence. Class need not to be three hours at a time, two days before our real exams, or even every week. If we slimmed this course down and made it effecient, we could spend three weeks doing the assesment and the rest of the semester doing the intervention (which truly needs to be longer if it to be effective). In short, Community Health,while important, is not on Step 1, and therefore does not need to take up the entire year. Thank you.

Suggestions for improvements to the course include better communication among the leaders, clear objectives that are understood by the students, and a syllabus that is updated.

Do not use the Gateway Center as a future site.
Provide a professionalism and a Respect for Others class for all professors.

The class should probably start sooner and don't have huge assignment [relative to the course] due the same week of exams. It seems that the syllabus was not effective in comminicating all that was required for the papers. For example: How many pages, single or double spaced, are the media fact sheets due with them. There also seemed to ba a sense that the rules for the paper would always changes at the last minute. The format of the first powerpoint presentation should be the same as the second. Just one giant group presentation. Also can class be let out when there is nothing producitve left to do. Sometimes it seems people make up extra things to do to fill the 3 hr block. Other courses will end a class if nothing relevant is left to be said. We also had one too many lectures at the beginning of the year.

The course was fine, but it would be helpful if during test week the students did not have to come. I suggest the other hours could be made up if it is possible.

(5)

Match the schedule of other classes where there are no classes during the week of the exam. Do some follow-on from existing interventions. Maybe a new intervention under a previously established start or recommendation from a previous class. If the students/faculty determine that a new intervention at a new location would be more applicable then that can be done. This makes the process more complicated but the experience should be more valuable.

OK

(6)

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Buckner

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

No one has completed this assessment yet.

OK

(7)

📖 Assessment Statistics: Bahati Banks

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Bahati Banks

Attempts   1 (Total of 2 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	100%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	100%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	100%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%

(8)

Unanswered

0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%

(9)

	Percent Answered
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

0

Given Answers

N/A

OK

(10)

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Blumenthal

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Dr. Blumethal

Attempts   1 (Total of 1 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions   Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%

(11)

	Percent Answered
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%

(12)

Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	100%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	100%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

1

Given Answers

OK

(13)

📖 Assessment Statistics: Carla Durham

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Carla Durham

Attempts   3 (Total of 3 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%

(14)

Unanswered   0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	66.667%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%

(J5)

Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

2

Given Answers

Ms. Durham was a very supportive group leader.

OK

(16)

📖 Assessment Statistics: Dr. Crawford

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Dr. Crawford

Attempts 1 (Total of 1 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert

I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	100%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%

(17)

Unanswered	0%

Question 4   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	100%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	100%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%

(18)

	Percent Answered
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10 Short Answer

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

0

Given Answers

One of the most disrespectul and most self-righteous professors that I have ever had. Please remember that even though we are students, we are still adults. We are not residents and you are not our boss/attending. Talking down to students during lecture is completely unacceptable and shows a lack of professionalism. If you want to teach or be a leader, then lead by example or else no one will EVER take you seriously as a medical professional.

(19)

Assessment Statistics: Dr Reese

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

No one has completed this assessment yet.

OK

(20)

📖 Assessment Statistics: Gail McCray

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

No one has completed this assessment yet.

OK

(21)

📖 Assessment Statistics: Dr. McNeal

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Dr. McNeal

Attempts   3 (Total of 3 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	33.333%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	33.333%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	100%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%

(22)

Unanswered   0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	66.667%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	33.333%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	33.333%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%

(23)

Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	33.333%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	33.333%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

1

Given Answers

I felt patronized by Dr McNeal. She did not treat us like medical students, but rather as children.

I felt that Dr. McNeal was a good instructor and was helpful to the students throughout taking our needs assessments and performing our intervention.

(24)

📖 Assessment Statistics: Na'Taki Osborne

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Na'Taki Osborne

Attempts   3 (Total of 4 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions   Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	33.333%
Strongly Disagree	33.333%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	33.333%
Strongly Disagree	33.333%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	66.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%

(25)

Unanswered	0%

Question 4   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	66.667%
Disagree	33.333%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	66.667%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	33.333%
Strongly Disagree	33.333%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%

(26)

Agree	0%
Neutral	66.667%
Disagree	33.333%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	66.667%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	66.667%
Disagree	33.333%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

1

Given Answers

Mrs. Jelks was present at most of the community health classes, but often was overshadowed by Dr. Buckner. Mrs. Jelks input was limited, but useful. Perhaps Mrs. Jelks could have had a greater impact, but Dr. Buckner does not give many people a chance to speak.

This particular instructor was the waxed fruit of community health, a paper weight. Weekly she served no purpose. Correction her only purpose was to be a shadow and "yes man" to Dr. Buckner. They combined to form the dynamic duo of nothingness. She continually let us know that she had no obligations to us and that this was done on a volunteer basis. My response to that is, if this is how you volunteer your time, then you should just stay at home asleep, or get

(27)

another job. She,combined with Dr.Buckner, made each community health session torture. Its ironic,that two people that ahve dedicated their lives to health and community outreach have been able to make everyone else hate it. It is my reccomendation that she should NOT be allowed to return next year and if she does that she should NOT be with Dr. Buckner again. I only wish that Buckner had an evaluation page too.

OK

(28)

📖 Assessment Statistics: Dr. Crump

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

No one has completed this assessment yet.

OK)

(29)

📖 Assessment Statistics: Dr. Taylor

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Dr. Taylor

Attempts   2 (Total of 2 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions   Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%

(30)

Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%

(31)

Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	50%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	100%
Agree	0%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

1

Given Answers

Dr. Taylor is an excellent community health leader. She shows geniune interest in each of her students. She is very supportive and open to questions.

OK

(32)

MOREHOUSE
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Department of Medical Education

MEMORANDUM

TO: Meryl McNeal, Ph.D.
Associate Professor Clinical CH/PM

FROM: Martha L. Elks, M.D., Ph.D.
Senior Associate Dean
Education and Faculty Affairs

RE: Community Health and Preventive Medicine
On-Line Evaluations

Date: June 19, 2009

The On-Line Course evaluation for Community Health and Preventive Medicine is enclosed for your review. Please share this information with the following instructors: Drs. Blumenthal, Buckner, Crawford, Durham, McCray, McNeal and Taylor.

If you have questions regarding the evaluations, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Cc: Dean Eve J. Higginbotham, M.D.
Daniel Blumenthal, M.D.

Assessment Statistics: Community Health Course Evaluation

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Community Health Course Evaluation

Attempts   15 (Total of 21 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions   Please evaluate this course. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you completed the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert
Course

The Course experiences (class sessions, materials, assignments) effectively addressed course objectives.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	13.333%
Agree	40%
Neutral	13.333%
Disagree	26.667%
Strongly Disagree	6.667%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert

The administrative aspects of the course were effective   (Consider issues such as timely provision of materials, error-free materials, responsiveness to problems, scheduling, and return of test(s).

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	40%
Neutral	6.667%
Disagree	26.667%
Strongly Disagree	6.667%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert

Examinations/assessments were appropriate and fair

Answers	Percent Answered

(2)

	Percent
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	60%
Neutral	13.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	6.667%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4   Opinion Scale/Likert
Class session experiences were worthwhile

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	13.333%
Agree	20%
Neutral	6.667%
Disagree	13.333%
Strongly Disagree	46.667%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5   Opinion Scale/Likert
Instruction was effective

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	6.667%
Agree	40%
Neutral	26.667%
Disagree	6.667%
Strongly Disagree	20%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6   Opinion Scale/Likert
Course director and instructor(s) were available

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	53.333%
Agree	26.667%
Neutral	6.667%
Disagree	6.667%
Strongly Disagree	6.667%
Unanswered	0%

(3)

Question 7   Short Answer

Strengths of this course include:

Unanswered Responses

5

Given Answers

Exposure to service learning, the ability to interact with and help the community

The involvement in the community, spending time in community service was definitely a nice break from the academic studies and helped us remember why we are going to medical school.

Interaction with members of the community.

We were able to help the people at our community site. This was fun for us and beneficial for them.

It accomplished it's goal of getting us into the community.

I think it was helpful in making individuals more aware of some of the issues that are effecting our society.

Getting to interact with the community.

interacting with the community.
learning how to plan and execute plans in a collaborative effort
improvisation
teaching students

allowed us to be hands on-we learned different techniques to find out what concerns are present in the community and the means to go about addressing them

Teaching the aspects of organizing community health interventions.

Question 8   Short Answer

Weaknesses of this course include:

Unanswered Responses

4

Given Answers

The timing for this course is unnecessary. My course rarely got to be involved with the community. Instead, we spent the entire time talking about what we could have been doing instead of actually doing anything.

All the time spent in seemingly pointless paperwork and class discussion. If I wanted to learn to conduct a research grade community project I would seek a MPH. I feel we wasted an enormous amount of time during the course of the semester.

i think we need to spend more time with the kids that we help in the community (ex. spend more time in the classrooms). the kids are a lot of fun, very entertaining, and so precious

Many groups did not really do anything to help the community.

a lot of time was wasted
was not very efficient
granted program has been going on for years, there should be waaaaay more foundation than the rocky starting we had, as though this program had never been done before.

(4)

Too much time alloted for the course which provides very little outcome. Students spend too much time sitting around and talking with very little to teach students any beneficial skills. Clinical preceptorships should be expanded more than Community Health courses.

Personally, I felt like our group had a lot of interaction with each other and not nearly enough with the community. I understand that the point of the course was to also teach students service-learning and how to assess and develop an intervention, but I felt in comparison with other groups ours spent WAY too much time having group discussions over topics we had discussed in previous meetings. We spent a lot of time discussing the same topics over and over again, but never actually took actions. In addition, I do not feel like our work at the group site was that beneficial to community. I think we would have had a bigger impact if we just tutored students during our three hours a week.

disorganization, lack of communication, lack of sustainability of projects, too little instruction in public health methods

The excessive amount of time taken away from other classes by this course.

There were some times where I felt like we were talking in circles and not really being effective.

Unnecessarily long class periods.

Question 9   Short Answer

Suggestions for improvement:

Unanswered Responses

5

Given Answers

tone down the looooong discussions, especially as a lot of people believed it was worthless, and they could be doing something more important.
Build on projects that have already been started or at least use the foundation. Alot of students felt as though they were starting from scratch and things were very disorganized.

Take out about 75% of the planning for the intervention and get started on it earlier in the year. Also reduce the amount of time spent in class (Maybe 2 hours instead of 3) and move the start time for class to 1:30 PM.

Let us go interact with the community and drastically reduce the academic portion of the class. The graded work seems very distant from the goals of a large portion of the class.

Let the students pick out the project.

Get advice on what the students want to do in the community and how they would like to make a difference instead of assigning us to do something.

Classes should organize their own community initiative to assist in providing it with helpful projects several times during the year. This at least,will yield better results rather than sittin around every Wednesday to discuss what amounts to nothing in sessions where people just talk in circles.

give students more instruction and guidance about how to effectively conduct a public health assessment and intervention

More time volunteering at the site.

more time with the kids

I think there should be some consistency between all of the groups. It appeared to me that most groups were able to interact a lot with their students at the beginning of the semester and actually really bonded. I think there needs to be some better structuring of the course so that all groups are following a similar course structure. It was also frustrating to be the only group that was

(5)

mandidate to dress up for the course, especially since we were the only group that did not actually interact with our students on a weekly basis. I think the groups should have to meet for same amount of time each week. It was annoying that our group was the only group that met for the full 3 hours every week and all the other groups met late and got out early. In addition, if students are not going to be participating with the group site and are just going to be talking to one another, it would be beneficial to just meet on campus. We had SEVERAL days that we just sat in a circle and talked within the group; something that could have been accomplished on campus.

OK

(6)

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Blumethal

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Dr. Blumethal

Attempts   7 (Total of 8 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions   Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	42.857%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	14.286%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	42.857%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	14.286%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	42.857%
Agree	28.571%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	0%

(7)

Strongly Disagree | 0%
Unanswered | 14.286%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	42.857%
Agree	28.571%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	14.286%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	14.286%
Agree	42.857%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	28.571%
Disagree	14.286%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	57.143%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

(8)

Strongly Agree	14.286%
Agree	71.429%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	14.286%
Agree	57.143%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	14.286%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	57.143%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

6

Given Answers

Dr. Blumenthal was always available to help our group when we needed it.

OK   )

(9)

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Buckner

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Dr. Buckner

Attempts 6 (Total of 9 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	33.333%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	50%
Disagree	16.667%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	50%
Disagree	16.667%

(/D)

Question 4   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	50%
Neutral	50%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	66.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	33.333%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	66.667%
Disagree	16.667%
Strongly Disagree	16.667%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

(11)

Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	50%
Disagree	16.667%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	66.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	33.333%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	50%
Disagree	16.667%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

4

Given Answers

Dr. Buckner has good intentions. However, her delivery comes off as intimidating and rude.

Overall, Dr. Buckner helped to ensure that as a group we stayed within the timeframes allotted to complete all tasks. She was especially helpful in reviewing our paper for grammatical errors, etc. However, at other times it was evident that Dr. Buckner would rather be elsewhere or needed to attend to other matters. And other days it seems that we were at the site until 5pm sharp with not real goals to accomplish. This quickly drew negative reaction from students

(12)

because we all realize how precious time is at this stage. Nevertheless, I do think Dr. Buckner is has a great spirit & enjoys the work she does within this course although it is hard to realize on some occasions.

OK

(13)

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Crawford

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Dr. Crawford

Attempts 6 (Total of 6 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	50%
Disagree	16.667%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	66.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	66.667%
Disagree	0%

(14)

Strongly Disagree | 0%
Unanswered | 0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	16.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	50%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	66.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	66.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

(15)

Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	50%
Neutral	50%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	16.667%
Neutral	66.667%
Disagree	16.667%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	16.667%
Neutral	50%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

5

Given Answers

I love Dr. Crawford! However, there are times when she can get distracted by other topics or details that may emerge within a given class setting. Outside of the random wandering in between topics from time to time, I enjoy Dr. Crawford's spirit and enthusiasm!

(16)

(17)

Assessment Statistics: Carla Durham

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Carla Durham

Attempts 3 (Total of 3 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%

(18)

Question 4   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

(19)

Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

3

Given Answers

OK

(20)

Assessment Statistics: Gail McCray

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Gail McCray

Attempts   4 (Total of 4 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	50%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	50%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	75%
Agree	25%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%

(21)

| Strongly Disagree | 0% |
| *Unanswered* | 0% |

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	75%
Agree	25%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	75%
Agree	25%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	75%
Agree	25%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

(22)

Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	25%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	25%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	50%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	50%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

3

Given Answers

Although she was only able to be there at the beginning of our year together, Dr. McCray was very helpful during her time with us.

(23)

(24)

Assessment Statistics: Dr. McNeal

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Dr. McNeal

Attempts 7 (Total of 7 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	14.286%
Agree	42.857%
Neutral	42.857%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	42.857%
Neutral	28.571%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	42.857%
Agree	14.286%
Neutral	42.857%
Disagree	0%

(25)

Strongly Disagree | 0%
Unanswered | 0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	28.571%
Neutral	42.857%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	14.286%
Agree	42.857%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	42.857%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	14.286%
Agree	57.143%
Neutral	28.571%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

(26)

Strongly Agree	14.286%
Agree	42.857%
Neutral	42.857%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	42.857%
Neutral	42.857%
Disagree	14.286%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	28.571%
Neutral	28.571%
Disagree	14.286%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

5

Given Answers

she is very nice. she was always available for questions and when as a group we ever needed anything, she would pick up her blackberry on the spot and make phonecalls to help us get what we need. very reliable and pleasant :)

Wonderful instructor!

(27)

(28)

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Taylor

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Dr. Taylor

Attempts   3 (Total of 3 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions   Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert

I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%

(29)

Strongly Disagree   0%

Unanswered   0%

Question 4   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

(30)

Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	100%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

3

Given Answers

OK

(31)

MOREHOUSE
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
Medical Education

MEMORANDUM

TO: Meryl McNeal, Ph.D.
Associate Professor, Clinical CH/PM

FROM: Martha L. Elks, M.D., Ph.D.
Senior Associate Dean
Education and Faculty Affairs

RE: COMMUNITY HEALTH/PREVENTIVE MEDICINE ON - LINE EVALUATIONS

DATE: June 16, 2010

The Community Health/Preventive On-line Evaluations for 2009-2010 are enclosed for your review. Please share this information with instructor(s) listed in this evaluation.

If you have any questions regarding the evaluations, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Cc: Sandra Harris-Hooker, Ph.D
Beverly Taylor, M.D.

Assessment Statistics: Community Health Course Evaluation

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Community Health Course Evaluation

Attempts   22 (Total of 25 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this course. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you completed the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert
Course

The Course experiences (class sessions, materials, assignments) effectively addressed course objectives.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	31.818%
Agree	40.909%
Neutral	18.182%
Disagree	4.545%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	4.545%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert

The administrative aspects of the course were effective   (Consider issues such as timely provision of materials, error-free materials, responsiveness to problems, scheduling, and return of test(s).

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	27.273%
Agree	31.818%
Neutral	31.818%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	4.545%
Unanswered	4.545%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert
Examinations/assessments were appropriate and fair

Answers	Percent Answered

- 2-

Strongly Agree	18.182%
Agree	59.091%
Neutral	18.182%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	4.545%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
Class session experiences were worthwhile

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	22.727%
Agree	27.273%
Neutral	31.818%
Disagree	13.636%
Strongly Disagree	4.545%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
Instruction was effective

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	22.727%
Agree	45.455%
Neutral	13.636%
Disagree	13.636%
Strongly Disagree	4.545%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
Course director and instructor(s) were available

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	31.818%
Agree	40.909%
Neutral	13.636%
Disagree	4.545%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	9.091%

Question 7 Short Answer

— 3 —

Strengths of this course include:

Unanswered Responses

8

Given Answers

Becoming more involved in the Atlanta community

I liked my site because it is beneficial to work with kids in the communities who need it. I do know that some people didn't find their site as worth while but it was a nice chance to get away from the classroom and into the community.

I love the Community Health course because I learned things I probably never would have learned on my own: how to assess a community's healthcare needs, create an intervention, implement and evaluate it. In my opinion, my group, led by Drs. Blumenthal, Daniels, and Ms. McCray, was the best group. After talking to my classmates I learned that our group was by far the most well-organized and efficient. The leaders each had very well-defined roles within the group and they complimented each other exceptionally well. In addition, our group leaders were approachable and very helpful. They assisted us and made outside resources available to us so we never felt like we had to re-invent the wheel to accomplish intervention goals. For instance, our intervention planning generally went like this: Dr. Blumenthal let us know who the community leaders are and scheduled them to meet with us; Ms. McCray kept us on task and made sure we followed our course schedule; Dr. Daniels taught us how to create a model to plan our intervention; each of them probed us with questions to guide us to logical conclusions and alerted us to common pitfalls to avoid.

Learning to work with communities that may have different values than mine

Some instructors were extremely helpful and worked to guide the students in creating strong interventions. The course also forced students to work in a group, thereby encouraging the development of valuable professional skills.

Teaches us the various ways of increasing the health of the population using avenues that were different from traditional medical therapies (AKA medicine and surgery).

Exposing students to varying aspects of our community and introducing us to the field of public/community health.

n/a

I would suggest making the overall objective of the course known several times within the beginning of the year. I would also suggest that instructors limit their groups to 2 interventions in order to help increase effectiveness and impact.

The involvement is the community gives the student a view of where problem areas are and gives insight as to possible career choices to pursue working in underservd commmunities

I enjoyed taking a break form the 1st year science classes once a week.

Establishing first year students as well rounded physicians.

None

This course engages the student in community work, and it really allows the student to gain first-hand experience into what working with the community is like.

Question 8 Short Answer

Weaknesses of this course include:

Unanswered Responses

7

— 4 —

Given Answers

n/a

n/a

It seems like "busy" work. Why two papers and two presentations? They are pretty much assessing the same skills. This course is not the best or most efficient use of anyones time. This course should meet no more than once a month if it is absolutely necessary or should be limited to one semester.

There are several:

-For the amount of work that goes into this course and the amount of time taken from other foundational courses, this class is not worth enough credit hours. It should be work at least as much as First year FoM, which is 7 credit hours. We do so much more work in community health than FoM, and only get 4 credit hours. I believe that it should be work 10 credit hours.
-The course schedule does not coincide nicely with what we students have going on in our other classes. I do not believe that we should have community health the week of exams. This is very inconsiderate. I enjoy the course, but I am not happy about the way that it has been implemented into our class schedules.

The semester-end papers did NOT improve my understanding of service-learning at all. Having the class write a scientific paper inevitably means that some people do all the writing, and so they resent their classmates who did not take up any slack. These negative feelings towards the class far outweigh any positive thoughts about the health interventions and any positive influences it would have made in the community. This ALWAYS happens, no matter how early the planning process for the intervention and paper begins.

Difficulties in the course come from doing the presentation when a major course exam and miniboard are scheduled within a few days of each other.

While it was wonderful to work with the St. Anthony of Padua Catholic Church community, in the beginning I thought that I would have had more interaction with my community each Wednesday. We did have representatives from the community when we were there but because our community was a commuter community and they were only there on Sundays, we really did not get to interact with them.

I realize the point of us working with the church is to get us used to working with others from a different faith/background. I would suggest that if that is the goal, perhaps a site at a religion-based school would have the same effect of working with those from other backgrounds but we would still get to see our community every Wednesday.

I thought the rules regarding allocating mponey for food was not equally regulated among the different groups. I felt we were required to do more work in terms of begging for money in order to facilitate many of our interventions, which focused on teaching the participates about healthy eating choices.

I feel the course could be done later in time to increase the students appreciation of what is being accomplished.

Too time consuming

I felt that the journals were rather repetitive in the end... I liked to talk about my site and the people we worked with but the same questions everytime- I didn't have much change.

The class lecture sections were not very engaging and seemed to be repetitive and irrelevant to some of our interventions. It is also difficult to work at a site that has already been the site of many interventions, because the task of coming up with a new idea that would be feasible becomes difficult for the students.

Time could be used more effectively. There is a lot of time that is spent doing tasks that could be completed faster than what is given. It effects students ability to balance appropriate time to other courses. The mandatory four hour sessions before large exams makes it difficult for the class to adequately prepare for exams. If the times could be moved around so as they are not

-5-

right before an exam block, this would be helpful.

Structure of the actual course, making sure expectations are clear and understood.

I would reorganize the manual slightly. I would also spiral bound the manual.

Question 9   Short Answer
Suggestions for improvement:

Unanswered Responses

9

Given Answers

limit the amount of hours per week devoted to community health.

n/a

Instructors should discuss with students, as part of the needs assessment, the previous interventions implemented at the site, along with descriptions of what worked and what didn't. This would allow the students to spend more time developing an intervention that will succeed and prevent them from creating an intervention that has already been tried and failed. I also suggest having community health exams separate from course exams. The material could be evaluated through quizzes at each group's site the week after the relevant lectures, allowing the students to focus on the material in a timely manner.

See response to question 8.

Get rid of the semester end papers, and have the students submit 3 or 4 reviews of scientific papers to gauge their understanding of how public health policies are enacted and analyzed.

Make it optional (those who complete can receive some type of fair credit), cut down to one semester, two papers and two presentations are repetitive, and unecessary.

I think other options for the journals would be more interesting for the readers and students.

Last semester our schedule was: Wednesday, 12/2--Paper due; Friday, 12/4--Independent study; Wednesday, 12/9--Presentation; Friday, 12/11--OS1 Final Exam; Wednesday, 12/16--Biochem mini-board. Perhaps we could have done the presentation on Friday, 12/4 instead of independent study, then had independent study on the Wednesday before our OS1 final exam.

I do not believe that the course should be cut completely out of the first year schedule, but I do think that it should be work at least 7-10 credit hours for the amount of work that we put into it. Also, the course should not occur on weeks of exams.

n/a

Class time may be a tad bit too long...mayber 2 hr classes instead of 3 hour classes.

While I found this course to be worthwhile, many of the course activities required additional time outside of the alloted class time each Wednesday. At times, I felt I was losing too much valuable study time in order to fulfill my duties for this course. I suggest shortening this course to one semester rather than the whole year, or perhaps moving this course to 4th year where there would be more time.

Set timeline and deadlines for the various parts of the paper. Make validated scoring methods available to the students to use or as guidelines.

OK

-6-

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Blumethal

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Dr. Blumethal

Attempts   3 (Total of 6 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions   Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%

-- 7 --

Strongly Disagree   0%

Unanswered   0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

– 8 –

Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

2

Given Answers

Knowing that Dr Blumenthal has dedicated his professional career to helping the underserved, it was motivational to me to work under someone that. He exemplifies the ways to accomplish what people who have worked to so hard for an education with the mission to help those who need it. He was a good site leader.

— 9 —

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Buckner

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Dr. Buckner

Attempts   10 (Total of 10 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	20%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	10%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	70%
Agree	0%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	10%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	80%
Agree	0%
Neutral	10%
Disagree	0%

— 10 —

Strongly Disagree	10%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	70%
Agree	20%
Neutral	10%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	60%
Agree	30%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	10%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	60%
Agree	20%
Neutral	10%
Disagree	10%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

— 11 —

Strongly Agree	70%
Agree	0%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	10%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	70%
Agree	0%
Neutral	30%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	70%
Agree	20%
Neutral	10%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

5

Given Answers

Dr. Buckner was extremely helpful in providing wonderful ideas to make sustainable and meaningful interventions for our community. Her experience in community health was invaluable as we ascertained information through surveys and focus groups and shaped a relevant intervention that met the needs of the community

Dr. Buckner is very passionate about her work and I have immense respect for both who she is as a person and her professional accomplishments. However, I feel that she can be a bit

- 12 --

abrasive at times. I understand that she is working to prepare us for clinical rotations, but the community health course is best when students and course leaders work together and have an open dialogue. I feel at times that I lost my ability to have an open dialogue with her and the rest of my classmates as she was sometimes judgemental about comments students made in class. I still feel that our interventions were extremely successful, but I wonder how much better they could have been if we were not afraid to voice our opinions sometimes out of fear of being repremanded by her.

Dr. Buckner is amazing professor! I have really enjoyed being in her community health group. She is one of the best professor's the MSM has!

Dr. Buckner was very determined that we use the experience with community health and apply it to our future in rotations and residency. The connection made me more interested in the course.

Amazing instructor that went above and beyond the call of duty1

OK

- 13 -

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Crawford

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Dr. Crawford

Attempts 7 (Total of 7 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	57.143%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	57.143%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	42.857%
Agree	42.857%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	0%

— 14 —

Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	42.857%
Agree	57.143%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	57.143%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	14.286%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	57.143%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

- 15 -

Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	71.429%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	28.571%
Agree	42.857%
Neutral	14.286%
Disagree	14.286%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	42.857%
Agree	57.143%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

3

Given Answers

Not sure if the answers for this survey is appropriate.

She was very passionate about public health. I would suggest maybe adding some public health overviews, especially about information pertaining to East Point and the West End for the ENTIRE class during the beginning or middle of the course.

great instructor as well as physician!!!!!!

−16−

You can tell that Dr. Crawford new her stuff. Tended to ramble, but overall incredibly smart, caring, and interesting.

OK

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Daniels

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Dr. Daniels

Attempts   3 (Total of 3 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%

- 18 -

Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

−19−

Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	66.667%
Agree	0%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

2

Given Answers

Dr. Daniels was extremely helpful in helping organizing our ideas and objectives. She always had insightful additions to our ideas and intervention.

OK

Assessment Statistics: Carla Durham

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Carla Durham

Attempts   3 (Total of 3 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions   Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert

I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%

- 21 -

Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	33.333%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

- 22 -

Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	66.667%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

2

Given Answers

Mrs. Durham Walker was a great instructor because she worked to make sure that the students all understood the proposed intervention. However, in the first semester I would have appreciated more guidance about what previous classes had decided to do for the intervention, which would have helped us plan ours better.
Overall, she was quite helpful. She respected our time and efforts, which was universally appreciated.

- 23 -

Assessment Statistics: Ms. Geathers

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Ms. Geathers

Attempts   8 (Total of 8 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor presented well-organized class sessions

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	75%
Agree	12.5%
Neutral	12.5%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	75%
Agree	12.5%
Neutral	12.5%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert

I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	87.5%
Agree	0%
Neutral	12.5%
Disagree	0%

— 24 —

Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	75%
Agree	12.5%
Neutral	12.5%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	75%
Agree	12.5%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	12.5%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	75%
Agree	12.5%
Neutral	12.5%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

- 25 -

Strongly Agree	62.5%
Agree	12.5%
Neutral	12.5%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	12.5%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	75%
Agree	12.5%
Neutral	12.5%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	75%
Agree	12.5%
Neutral	12.5%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

3

Given Answers

Ms. Geathers was a great resource for questions regarding the course due to her work with the CDC. She is very encouraging, funny and helpful.

Dr. Geathers was excellent; she made sure that we thought out everything that we wanted to implement and how we wanted to run things.

It was a pleasure to have Ms. Geathers as one of our group leaders. Her best qualities are her

- 26 -

patience and eagerness to teach the students.

Ms. Geathers was a true joy to have as a community health leader. She is extremely supportive and always provides constructive criticism. I feel honored to have had the opportunity to have her as my community health leader, I truely learned a lot from her.

Ms. Geathers was an excellent group leader and provided many helpful suggestions in our efforts to provide sustainable and meaningful interventions for our community. It was a pleasure to work with her!

OK

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Levine

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Dr. Levine

Attempts 8 (Total of 8 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	37.5%
Agree	25%
Neutral	37.5%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	25%
Neutral	25%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	37.5%
Agree	37.5%
Neutral	25%
Disagree	0%

- 28 -

Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	50%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	37.5%
Agree	37.5%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	12.5%
Disagree	12.5%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	37.5%
Agree	37.5%
Neutral	25%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered

- 29 -

Strongly Agree	25%
Agree	37.5%
Neutral	37.5%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	25%
Agree	37.5%
Neutral	25%
Disagree	12.5%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	62.5%
Agree	37.5%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer
Other comments

Unanswered Responses

4

Given Answers

n/a

Dr. Levine made Community Health a much more stressful experiences than other groups had to go through. Constantly tense and somber when he walked in the room. However, it was clear that he made it this way because he genuinely wanted us to make a difference in the community, and cared deeply about this course.

— 30 —

Not sure if the answers for this survey is appropriate.

His criticism **was** always helpful, honest, and appreciative.

OK

- 31 -

MOREHOUSE
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Department of Medical Education

MEMORANDUM

TO: Meryl McNeal, Ph.D.
           Associate Professor, Clinical CH/PM

FROM: Martha L. Elks, M.D., Ph.D.
           Senior Associate Dean
           Education and Faculty Affairs

RE: COMMUNITY HEALTH/PREVENTIVE MEDICINE ON - LINE EVALUATIONS

DATE: May 24, 2011

The Community Health/Preventive On-line Evaluations for 2010-2011 are enclosed for your review. Please share this information with instructor(s) listed in this evaluation.

If you have any questions regarding the evaluations, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Cc: Sandra Harris-Hooker, Ph.D
      Beverly Taylor, M.D.

Assessment Statistics: Community Health Course Evaluation

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Community Health Course Evaluation

Attempts   25 (Total of 25 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this course. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you completed the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert
Course

The Course experiences (class sessions, materials, assignments) effectively addressed course objectives.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	32%
Agree	44%
Neutral	16%
Disagree	8%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert

The administrative aspects of the course were effective   (Consider issues such as timely provision of materials, error-free materials, responsiveness to problems, scheduling, and return of test(s).

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	48%
Neutral	16%
Disagree	16%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert

−2−

Examinations/assessments were appropriate and fair

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	12%
Agree	60%
Neutral	24%
Disagree	4%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4   Opinion Scale/Likert
Class session experiences were worthwhile

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	12%
Agree	36%
Neutral	40%
Disagree	8%
Strongly Disagree	4%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5   Opinion Scale/Likert
Instruction was effective

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	16%
Agree	44%
Neutral	32%
Disagree	8%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6   Opinion Scale/Likert
Course director and instructor(s) were available

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	24%
Agree	56%

$-3-$

Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7   Short Answer

Strengths of this course include:

Unanswered Responses

8

Given Answers

Introducing students to public health as it relates to medicine, and getting the students involved in a local community.

I like the way the exams were organized and that the lectures were presented at the beginning of each semester.

This course gave us the opportunity to serve a local community by implementing a project that addressed specific health needs.

Introduced students to public health.

Great hands on experience.

The ability to help out individuals in a community.

The ability to come up with a project of our own and implement it.

The course teaches skills and provides activities that reinforce the mission of MSM.

The on-site experience

N/A

Knowledge of the professors

The opportunity to work with the community to address its health needs is invaluable to students' education. The concept and goal of this course are excellent.

Being able to work in the community.

It is a wonderful example of Service Learning; education is combined with community service. It was a wonderful expereince.

The learn by doing style of instruction.

Interacting with the communities, group interactions, public health awareness, community service.

_ 4 _

Introduction to a different perspective of medicine.

The small group in community portion of the course was great.

Question 8   Short Answer

Weaknesses of this course include:

Unanswered Responses

9

Given Answers

Don't know

Some of the community sites.

Organization of time spent at center.

Time consuming.

Lack of support from the course directors

At times I felt it was an inconvenience to work at a community that required so much time out of class. I also felt that there was evident "tension" between the students in Group IV and the instructors. This created a very awkward learning environment.

Some of the lectures seemed inappropirately placed: i.e the lecture when we returned from Christmas break. In particular, the Akintobi lecutre should come later in the semester. I would have learn more from the lecture if I had a better idea of our intervention.

The major projects are during stressful periods in the schedule.

Too much time of a time commitment for intervention and Needs Assessment activities outside of the normal class week (i.e. weekends) based on the availability of our community.

N/A

I was a member of group 4 and we were placed at St. Anthony's Church. This was a HORRIBLE site to conduct a class on Wednesdays from 2-5pm. We had to give up too much of our free time on the weekends in an attempt to complete the objectives. Furthermore, we ran into numerous scheduling conflicts that messed up some of our scheduled events. Communication with the church was a hard task to accomplish. In the fall, everyone said they wanted to see certain things but when we had events in the spring, it seemed like no one was interested in participating. The group leaders were also a challenge. Dr. Buckner seems like a very vindictive person. When things do not go her way, it seems that she took pleasure in threaten our grades to force us to do something. On more than one occasion, she forced students to dance or participate in the exercise class when they stated that they would prefer not to. Her personality makes her unsuitable to teach students if she will treat them in such a manner. Ms. Geathers is a good

_ 5 _

teacher but she also had some issues. She was more concerned with the students accommodating the church's schedule rather than following the schedule in the course syllabus in order to meet the objectives. Furthermore, from both instructors, there was blatant disrespect towards the students. We were constantly put down and talked to like we were children. On several occasions, a student would be speaking and the instructors would have a side conversation about something irrelevant. This was not a very enjoyable experience.

More should be done to make sure that all group members are equally participating and doing their work.

N/A

Subjective grading, large time requirement.

The site assignment for group IV was controversal. However, this situation is already being addressed.

Outside of Group 4's faculty leadership - none.

Question 9 Short Answer

Suggestions for improvement:

Unanswered Responses

8

Given Answers

Encourage more sustainable projects

Community Health serves a useful purpose even if, at the time it seemed like a fifth wheel. I wouldnt change my community health experience.

Choose community sites where all of the main components of the course can be completed during the scheduled Community Health time.

Try and space out the due dates of the final papers and the presentations, and see if we can consolidate some of the assignments to periods of the schedule that are not as hectic.

Perhaps more funding for better interventions.

N/A

Consider revising the lecture schedule.

Change Group 4's site and faculty leaders.

Re-evaluate how students should spend time in the center. Maybe making the time more flexible to students' schedules.

Overall, I like the concept of the course but the site and the instructors of group 4 made it very difficult to enjoy it. St. Anthony Church should NOT be a site next year and Dr. Buckner and Ms. Geathers should be reconsidered as instructors in

$-6-$

this course.

I feel another Community Health site that gives the students the opportunity to interact with their community during the designated class time should be selected.

More mature course directors

N/A

Permanently bring back the peer evaluations each semester and not just on a group by group basis.

Scheduling of papers/presentations to not be in conjunction with exams/miniboards.

N/A

OK

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Buckner

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Dr. Buckner

Attempts 5 (Total of 7 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	40%
Neutral	40%
Disagree	20%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	40%
Neutral	60%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%

— 8 —

Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	20%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	40%
Neutral	40%
Disagree	20%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	20%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	20%
Disagree	20%
Strongly Disagree	40%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	20%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	20%

− 9 −

Strongly Disagree	60%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	40%
Neutral	60%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	40%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	40%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	40%
Neutral	40%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	20%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10 Short Answer

−10−

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

2

Given Answers

The strenuous relationship that developed between the students and our group leaders made it truly difficult for me to fully appreciate the benefits of Community Health

Very Vindictive person. With her personality, she should not be allowed to teach students.

Although Dr. Buckner was effective in leading the group to successful interventions, the atmosphere between the students and the group leaders (specifically Dr. Buckner) was often tense. I felt that there was some resentment over incidences that may have occurred in the past and that students were "walking on egg shells" for fear that the situation would deteriorate. I believe that much of this tension was created by complications presented by the community site.

OK

－//－

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Blumethal

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Dr. Blumethal

Attempts 5 (Total of 5 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%

- /2 -

Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	40%
Agree	60%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	60%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%

– *13*–

Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	40%
Agree	60%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10 Short Answer

-14-

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

4

Given Answers

Really knows his material and made it easy to learn.

OK

−15−

Assessment Statistics: Carla Durham

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Carla Durham

Attempts   5 (Total of 5 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	40%
Agree	40%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	40%
Agree	40%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert

I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	40%

$-16-$

Agree	40%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	40%
Agree	20%
Neutral	40%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	40%
Agree	40%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	40%
Agree	40%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%

−17−

Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	40%
Agree	40%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	40%
Agree	40%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	40%
Agree	40%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10 Short Answer

−18−

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

3

Given Answers

Mrs Durham was great to work with. She had great advise for us when we hit roadblocks and was solution oriented. She gave excellent feedback on our reflection journals and recognized us for our achievements on tests. She really helped our student group work together as a cohesive unit.

She was not my group leader and I do not remember her teaching.

OK

−19−

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Crawford

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Dr. Crawford

Attempts 6 (Total of 7 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	16.667%
Agree	50%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	16.667%
Agree	50%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%

— 20 —

Agree	50%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	16.667%
Agree	50%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	16.667%
Agree	66.667%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	16.667%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%

$- 2 1 -$

Strongly Disagree   0%

Unanswered   0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	16.667%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	16.667%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	50%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	50%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10 Short Answer

- 22 -

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

3

Given Answers

Was not my group member and I do not remember her teaching.

I really enjoyed have Dr. Crawford as a course director - she brought a lot of helpful and interesting information to class sessions.

Not sure if the answers for this survey is appropriate.

OK

- 23 -

Assessment Statistics: Gail McCray

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Gail McCray

Attempts 5 (Total of 5 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	60%
Neutral	40%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	40%
Neutral	40%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert

I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%

— 24 —

Agree	60%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	60%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	40%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	60%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	40%
Neutral	40%
Disagree	0%

- 25 -

Strongly Disagree 0%

Unanswered 0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	40%
Neutral	40%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	40%
Neutral	40%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	60%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10 Short Answer

-26-

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

4

Given Answers

.

OK

Assessment Statistics: Dr. McNeal

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Dr. McNeal

Attempts   6 (Total of 6 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	50%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert

I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%

−28−

Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	16.667%
Agree	50%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	16.667%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	66.667%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%

– 29 –

Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	50%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	50%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	50%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10 Short Answer

— 30 —

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

3

Given Answers

Dr. McNeal provided great guidance as well as gave us room to learn.

Not sure if the answers for this survey is appropriate.

Very knowledgeable about the subject matter.

OK

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Taylor

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name Dr. Taylor

Attempts 5 (Total of 5 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	60%
Agree	40%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	60%
Agree	40%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	80%

—32—

Agree	20%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	60%
Agree	20%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	60%
Agree	40%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	60%
Agree	40%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%

−33−

Strongly Disagree 0%

Unanswered 0%

Question 7   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	60%
Agree	40%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	60%
Agree	40%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	60%
Agree	40%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer

– 34 –

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

3

Given Answers

She presented the material in a way that made it easy to retain.

Dr. Taylor was genuinely concerned about our development as future physicians and that we understood that what we were doing in community health could be applicable to our future practice. She was always willing to listen when we have questions or concerns whether they were directly related to the community health course or not. My experience with her has encouraged me to consider Preventive Medicine as a potential career area.

[OK]

– 35 –

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Levine

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Dr. Levine

Attempts   6 (Total of 6 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	50%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	50%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%

－36－

Agree	50%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	33.333%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	66.667%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%

- 37 -

	Percent Answered
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	33.333%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	33.333%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9   Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	50%
Agree	33.333%
Neutral	16.667%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10   Short Answer

− 38 −

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

3

Given Answers

.

Dr. Levine was wonderful to work with. He had great input and was always available for questions. Dr. Levine gave constructive feedback and was involved in all of our interventions.

Not sure if the answers for this survey is appropriate.

(OK)

Assessment Statistics: Dr. Daniels

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Dr. Daniels

Attempts   5 (Total of 5 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor presented well-organized class sessions.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3 Opinion Scale/Likert

I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%

-40-

Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	60%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%

-4/-

Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	60%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	20%
Agree	80%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10 Short Answer

-42-

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

4

Given Answers

WONDERFUL teacher!

OK

Assessment Statistics: Ms. Geathers

The statistics are calculated based only on the attempts being used in the grading option (Last attempt, First attempt, Lowest Score, Highest Score, or Average of Scores). If Average of Scores is the grading option, then all attempts are included in the statistics.

Name   Ms. Geathers

Attempts   5 (Total of 6 attempts for this assessment)

Instructions Please evaluate this faculty member. Your answers will be anonymous but it will be recorded that you answer the survey.

Question 1   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor presented well-organized class sessions

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	20%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	40%
Strongly Disagree	20%
Unanswered	0%

Question 2   Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was well-prepared.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	20%
Neutral	60%
Disagree	0%
Strongly Disagree	20%
Unanswered	0%

Question 3   Opinion Scale/Likert
I had no difficulty understanding this instructor

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%

– 44 –

Agree	20%
Neutral	40%
Disagree	20%
Strongly Disagree	20%
Unanswered	0%

Question 4 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor was available for questions, etc.

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	0%
Neutral	60%
Disagree	20%
Strongly Disagree	20%
Unanswered	0%

Question 5 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor motivated me to learn

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	20%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	20%
Disagree	40%
Strongly Disagree	20%
Not Applicable	0%
Unanswered	0%

Question 6 Opinion Scale/Likert
This instructor maintained an atmosphere conducive to learning

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	20%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	0%

-45-

Strongly Disagree	60%
Unanswered	0%

Question 7 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor used appropriate teaching materials effectively (handouts, text, electronic, etc)

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	20%
Neutral	60%
Disagree	0%
Not Applicable	20%
Unanswered	0%

Question 8 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor effectively used class time

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	20%
Neutral	20%
Disagree	40%
Strongly Disagree	20%
Unanswered	0%

Question 9 Opinion Scale/Likert

This instructor cared that I learned

Answers	Percent Answered
Strongly Agree	0%
Agree	40%
Neutral	0%
Disagree	40%
Strongly Disagree	20%
Unanswered	0%

Question 10 Short Answer

− 46 −

Other comments

Unanswered Responses

2

Given Answers

She was more concerned with adjusting our schedule to the church's schedule than meeting the objectives.

I did not find Ms. Geather's role as a group leader to be beneficial to my learning environment. Throughout the year I have seen her be rather rude to some of my classmates. I also did not feel like she really contributed much to helping us in planning a successful health intervention.

On the few occasions when Ms. Geathers led class sessions alone, there seemed to be a lack of organization and guidance. Also, having a member of the church as a group leader felt like a conflict of interest and many students were often hesitant to express their honest thoughts about the site because they did not want to offend her. If this site is used in the future, I suggest having a different group/leaders assigned there so this conflict can be avoided.

(OK)

−47−